

Regional Conservation Authority

**Resolution in Support of an Environmental
Enhancement Mitigation Program Grant Application for
Wilson Valley Conservation Resource Acquisition Project**

Staff Contact:

**Patricia Lock-Dawson
RCA (951) 955-9700**

Background:

Staff has prepared and submitted a grant request to the California Resources Agency under the Environmental Enhancement Mitigation Program for \$237,330 to acquire property in the Wilson Valley. The grant request identifies that approximately \$1,452,000 in federal Section 6 money will be used as match to acquire additional adjacent properties. The request identifies acquisition of a total of approximately 563 acres to help link habitat reserves with high biodiversity, including state and federally listed species and habitats (e.g., coastal sage scrub, California gnatcatcher, least Bell's vireo, and Quino checkerspot butterfly). To be eligible, a project must provide mitigation above and beyond the mitigation required for a related transportation project. The acquisition identified in this grant will complement the environmental mitigation already completed for the Murrieta Hot Springs Road extension and will augment ongoing County, state, and federal efforts to acquire resource habitat in southwest Riverside County. A resolution from the RCA Board is required as part of the grant application.

Staff Recommendation:

Approve attached resolution.

Attachment:

Resolution 04-11

RESOLUTION NO. 04-11
RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE
WESTERN RIVERSIDE COUNTY REGIONAL
CONSERVATION AUTHORITY APPROVING THE SUBMITTAL OF THE
APPLICATION FOR GRANT FUNDS FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL
ENHANCEMENT AND MITIGATION PROGRAM UNDER SECTION 154.56 OF THE
CALIFORNIA STREETS AND HIGHWAYS CODE FOR
THE MURRIETA HOT SPRINGS ROAD (EAST) PROJECT

WHEREAS, the Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority (“Authority”) is a public agency of the State of California formed by a Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement (“JPA”); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 3.H. of the JPA, the Authority has the power to be an applicant, make applications for, and receive grants from governmental and private entities; and

WHEREAS, the Legislature of the State of California has enacted AB 471 (Chapter 106 of the Statutes of 1989), which is intended to provide \$10 million annually for a period of 10 years for grant funds to local, state and federal agencies and non profit entities for projects to enhance and mitigate the environmental impacts of modified or new public transportation facilities; and

WHEREAS, the State Resources Agency has established the procedures and criteria for reviewing grant proposals and is required to submit to the California Transportation Commission a list of recommended projects from which the grant recipients will be selected; and

WHEREAS, said procedures and criteria established by the State Resources Agency require a resolution certifying the approval of application by the applicant’s governing body before submission of said application to the State; and

WHEREAS, the application contains assurances that the applicant must comply with; and

WHEREAS, the applicant, if selected, is required to enter into an agreement with the State of California in order to carry out the environmental enhancement and mitigation project;

WHEREAS, the Authority now wishes to request grant funds and authorize its General Manager to take any actions necessary to secure such grant funds.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Board of Directors of the Authority hereby resolves as follows:

Section 1. That the Regional Conservation Authority approves the filing of an application for the Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation Program for grant assistance in connection with the construction of the Murrieta Hot Springs Road (east) project.

Section 2. That the Board of Directors appoint the General Manager, or his designee, as agent of the Regional Conservation Authority to conduct negotiations, execute and submit documents, including, but not limited to applications, agreements, amendments, payment requests and related documents, which may be necessary to secure the aforementioned grant.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at the regular meeting of the Board of Directors at the Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority held this 6th day of December, 2004.

By: _____
Robin Lowe, Chairman
Western Riverside County
Regional Conservation Authority

ATTEST:

By: _____
Honey Bernas, Clerk
Western Riverside County
Regional Conservation Authority

Regional Conservation Authority

Resolution Establishing a Fee Credit and Waiver Policy

Staff Contact:

**Best Best & Krieger LLP
(951) 686-1450**

Background:

The Western Riverside County MSHCP provides for the establishment of the Local Development Mitigation Fee to fund the acquisition of conservation lands within Western Riverside County. The Implementing Agreement and Implementing Mechanisms adopted by the Member Agencies require each agency to collect such fees from new development within Western Riverside County.

The generation, collection and expenditure of the Local Development Mitigation Fee is a key component to allow acquisition of the 153,000 acres of Additional Conservation Land that will complete the 500,000 acre MSHCP Conservation Area.

Section 8.0 of the MSHCP states that a variety of incentives may be offered by the Local Permittees, including waiver and/or reduction of the Fee. In some instances, such Fee "credits" may be an appropriate tool to provide incentives to developers to contribute property. RCA Staff believes it is important, however, to establish a policy for the use of Fee credits to ensure adequate funding exists for acquisition, including but not limited to review, comment and approval by RCA Staff.

Staff Recommendation:

Approve Resolution No. 04-12 Establishing a Fee Credit and Waiver Policy.

Attachment:

Resolution No. 04-12

RESOLUTION NO. 04-12

**RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE
WESTERN RIVERSIDE COUNTY REGIONAL
CONSERVATION AUTHORITY ESTABLISHING
A FEE CREDIT AND WAIVER POLICY**

WHEREAS, the Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority (“Authority”) is a public agency of the State of California formed by a Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement (“JPA”); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 19 of the JPA, the Authority has the power to adopt such rules and regulations as the Board may deem necessary for the conduct of the Authority’s affairs; and

WHEREAS, the Authority desires to ensure a consistent and adequate flow of fee revenues to support its operations, consistent with the intent of the Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (“MSHCP”), the MSHCP Implementing Agreement (“IA”), and the JPA; and

WHEREAS, Sections 6.1.1 and 8.4.2 of the MSHCP allow the use of incentives to be offered to landowners and developers to fully or partially compensate them for the conservation of their lands, including waiver and/or reduction of the Local Development Mitigation Fee (“Fee”); and

WHEREAS, the Authority desires to balance the use of fee credits, waivers or reductions as an incentive for conservation against its ability to ensure adequate funding of the MSHCP.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority Board of Directors:

1.0 **FEE CREDIT, WAIVER OR REDUCTION REQUEST PROCESS.** When a Member Agency receives a request for a Fee credit, waiver or reduction (“Fee Credit”) or proposes a Fee Credit, such request shall be submitted to the Authority’s general manager (“General Manager”). This request shall include all relevant documentation related to the project, including project description, map, criteria cells, if any, designation of land proposed for conservation and an appraisal prepared pursuant to Section 6.1.1 of the MSHCP. The Member Agency shall also identify in the materials submitted to the General Manager other potential incentives set forth in Section 6.1 of the MSHCP and explain why they are not being utilized for the project instead of a Fee Credit. Additional information may be requested by the General Manager. The Member Agency’s request shall not be deemed complete until such additional information, if any, is received by the General Manager.

The General Manager’s review shall be based on all relevant information concerning the project, including but not limited to: 1) the need for the property for conservation; 2) the overall revenue requirements of the MSHCP; 3) whether the proposed Fee Credit is reasonable in light of the appraised value of the land proposed for conservation; and 4) the extent to which the land is needed to complete the MSHCP Conservation Area. Fee Credits will not be approved for property that could not otherwise be developed or has

significant development constraints as identified in the appropriate Member Agency's general plan, zoning ordinance, governing land use documents and/or relevant local, state or federal law.

The General Manager may consult with the Board of Directors, the Funding Coordination Committee ("FCC") or any other body prior to making a determination on the Fee Credit request. The General Manager shall complete his/her review no later than the conclusion of the Joint Review Process pursuant to Section 6.6.2 of the MSHCP. The General Manager shall approve such request, approve with conditions or deny such request. In the event of a denial, such decision may be appealed to the Authority Board of Directors within thirty (30) days of issuance. Such appeal shall be placed on the next regularly scheduled Board of Directors meeting for which an agenda has not been posted. The Board of Directors decision shall be final. The General Manager shall provide monthly reports to the Board of Directors of all decisions concerning Fee Credits.

- 2.0 UNAUTHORIZED FEE CREDIT OR WAIVER. If a Member Agency authorizes a Fee Credit for any development project without the express written consent of the General Manager, the Member Agency having authorized such Fee Credit shall remit to the Authority funds equal to the amount of such Fee Credit authorized with its monthly payments of Fees.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at the regular meeting of the Board of Directors at the Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority held this __ day of _____, 2004.

By: _____
Robin Lowe, Chairman
Western Riverside County
Regional Conservation Authority

ATTEST:

By: _____
Honey Bernas, Clerk
Western Riverside County
Regional Conservation Authority

Regional Conservation Authority

**Resolution Establishing Procedures and Requirements
for Implementation of the Western Riverside County
Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan**

Staff Contact:

**Best Best & Krieger LLP
(951) 686-1450**

Background:

Section 11.1 of the MSHCP Implementing Agreement states that the County, Cities and the RCA shall adopt an appropriate Implementation Mechanism within six (6) months of execution of that Agreement. Thus, all Implementation Mechanisms must be adopted on or before December 22, 2004.

Staff has prepared a Resolution that would constitute the Implementation Mechanism for the RCA, setting forth its duties and responsibilities under the MSHCP.

Staff Recommendation:

Approve Resolution No. 04-13 establishing procedures and requirements for implementation of the Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan.

Attachment:

Resolution No. 04-13

RESOLUTION No. 04-13

A RESOLUTION OF THE WESTERN RIVERSIDE COUNTY REGIONAL CONSERVATION AUTHORITY TO ESTABLISH PROCEDURES AND REQUIREMENTS FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF THE WESTERN RIVERSIDE COUNTY MULTIPLE SPECIES HABITAT CONSERVATION PLAN

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE WESTERN RIVERSIDE COUNTY REGIONAL CONSERVATION AUTHORITY AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION I. TITLE

This Resolution shall be known as the “Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan Implementation Policy.”

SECTION II. FINDINGS AND PURPOSE

- A.** The Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority (“RCA”) finds that the ecosystems of western Riverside County and the vegetation communities and sensitive species they support are fragile, irreplaceable resources that are vital to the general welfare of the region; these vegetation communities and natural areas contain habitat value which contributes to the region’s environmental resources; and special protections for these vegetation communities and natural areas must be established to prevent future endangerment of the plant and animal species that are dependent upon them. This Resolution will help protect the biological resources, vegetation communities, and natural areas in western Riverside County. Adoption and implementation of this Resolution will enable the RCA to assist in achieving the conservation goals set forth in the Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (“MSHCP”), to implement the associated Implementing Agreement executed by the RCA on February 2, 2004, and to preserve the ability of the RCA assist in MSHCP implementation consistent with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (“NEPA”), the California Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”), the Federal Endangered Species Act (“FESA”), the California Endangered Species Act (“CESA”), the California Natural Community Conservation Planning Act (“NCCP Act”), and other applicable laws.
- B.** The purpose and intent of this Resolution is to assist in maintaining and restoring biological diversity and the natural ecosystem processes which support this diversity, to protect vegetation communities and natural areas within western Riverside County which support species covered under the MSHCP, to maintain the future of economic development within western Riverside County by providing a streamlined regulatory process from which development can proceed in an orderly process, and to protect the existing character of western Riverside County through the implementation of a system of reserves which will provide for permanent open space, community edges, and habitat conservation for species covered by the MSHCP.

SECTION III. APPLICATION OF REGULATIONS

The RCA shall be required to comply with the procedures set forth in this Resolution consistent with the MSHCP, the Implementing Agreement, and the Section 10(a) and the California Natural Community Conservation Planning (“NCCP”) Act Permits.

SECTION IV. PROCEDURES

- A. As set forth in Section 6.6 of the MSHCP, the RCA shall oversee and administer the MSHCP. In furtherance of these duties, the RCA shall establish bylaws and other appropriate administrative policies.
- B. The RCA shall ensure compliance with the MSHCP, the Implementing Agreement, the Section 10(a) and NCCP Permits, and all applicable requirements, policies and procedures when granting Take Authorization as contemplated by the MSHCP.
- C. The RCA shall manage land that it owns or holds easements for that is within the MSHCP Conservation Area and that has been set aside for conservation purposes as set forth in Sections 5.0 and 8.0 of the MSHCP.
- D. The RCA shall grant applications to Participating Special Entities as set forth in Section 11.8 of the MSHCP.
- E. The RCA shall carry out all other applicable requirements and policies of the MSHCP, the Implementing Agreement, and the Section 10(a) and NCCP Permits.

SECTION V. EFFECTIVE DATE

The Chairperson of the Board of Directors of the RCA shall sign this Resolution and the Clerk of the RCA shall attest thereto, and thereupon and thereafter this Resolution shall take effect and be in force according to law.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at the regular meeting of the Board of Directors at the Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority held this __ day of _____, 2004.

By: _____
Robin Lowe, Chairman
Western Riverside County
Regional Conservation Authority

ATTEST:

By: _____
Honey Bernas, Clerk
Western Riverside County
Regional Conservation Authority

*Regional Conservation Authority***Draft Legislative Platform for 2005-06****Staff Contact:****Bill Hodge
(951) 955-8311****Background:**

On November 1, 2004 the Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority Board of Directors reviewed a draft Legislative Platform for the 2005-06 sessions of the U.S. Congress and the California State Legislature. Copies of the draft platform were sent to member jurisdictions, participating agencies, active associations and other stakeholders seeking comments on the platform. The Technical Advisory Committee (city managers) of WRCOG asked that language be modified relating to issuance of citations on MSHCP Reserve lands to make it more generic.

As a result of this final outreach effort, a recommended Legislative Platform is presented to the Board of Directors today. Staff recommends that the Board approve the proposed Platform and direct staff to send out the final version to member jurisdictions, stakeholders and public agencies.

The 2005-06 Legislative Platform serves as the template by which the RCA will direct its legislative efforts and analyze legislative proposals, recommending specific positions to the Board of Directors and member jurisdictions. These positions, once adopted by the Board of Directors, will be forwarded to Sacramento, Washington, D.C., and interested parties as appropriate.

Staff Recommendation:

1. Approve the proposed Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority 2005-06 Legislative Platform.
2. Direct staff to send the 2005-06 Legislative Platform to member jurisdictions, public agencies and interested parties.

Attachment:

Proposed 2005-06 Legislative Platform

Regional Conservation Authority



Staff Contact:

**Honey Bernas, RCA
(951) 955-9700**

Background:

The RCA Board of Directors meetings for 2004 were scheduled to precede the WRCOG Executive Committee meetings. Staff is proposing a similar meeting schedule for 2005. The only exceptions to the proposed schedule are the January and July meeting dates. WRCOG is dark in January and July, and that Board may wish to consider whether or not the RCA Board desires to meet in January and July.

Staff Recommendation:

That the Board consider the proposed meeting schedule for 2005 and provide direction as to the January and July meeting dates.

Attachment:

Proposed meeting schedule for 2005



Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority

PROPOSED MEETING SCHEDULE FOR 2005

RCA BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Meetings will be held on the first Monday of every month at 1:00 p.m. If the first Monday of the month is a holiday, the meeting will be held on the second Monday.

Meeting Location

**County Administrative Center
Annex – First Floor Board Room
4080 Lemon Street
Riverside, CA 92501**

January 10, 2005 **

February 7, 2005

March 7, 2005

April 4, 2005

May 2, 2005

June 6, 2005

July 11, 2005 *

August 1, 2005

September 12, 2005 *

October 3, 2005

November 7, 2005

December 5, 2005

* Meeting date adjusted for holiday

** Meeting date adjusted

Regional Conservation Authority

**Consideration of Ratification of Implementation and
Administration Guidelines Advisory Committee Membership**

Staff Contact:

**Best Best & Krieger LLP
(951) 686-1450**

Background:

A Regional Conservation Authority Ad Hoc Committee, the Implementation and Administration Guidelines Advisory Committee ("Committee"), was previously appointed by the RCA Chair and subsequently ratified by the RCA Board. The purpose of the Committee is to perform the duties previously undertaken by the MSHCP Stakeholders Advisory Group which was to make recommendations concerning MSHCP implementation. Two additional members, the County of Riverside and the Center for Biological Diversity, have been proposed for membership to the Committee.

The proposed membership list is attached.

Staff Recommendation:

Ratify Implementation and Administration Guidelines Advisory Committee Membership List.

Attachments:

Implementation and Administration Guidelines Advisory Committee Proposed Membership List

REGIONAL CONSERVATION AUTHORITY

Implementation and Administration Guidelines Committee Standing Committee No. 4 (Membership FY 2004 / 2005)

1. Property Owner	Gail Wanczuk-Barton, Chair
2. Property Owners Association of Riverside County	Bruce Colbert
3. Endangered Habitats League	Dan Silver
4. Developer Interests	Ed Sauls
5. Farm Bureau	Steve Pastor
6. Building Industry Association	Borre Winckel
7. University of California at Riverside	Mike Allen
8. Planning	WRCOG PD TAC Chair
9. Public Works	WRCOG PW TAC Chair
10. City Managers	WRCOG CM TAC Chair
11. California Native Plant Society	Alison Shilling
12. Sierra Club	Pete Kiriakos
13. Property Owner	Jane Block
14. Center for Biological Diversity	
15. To Be Determined	Carolyn Syms Luna, County of Riverside

This committee shall be appointed by the Chairperson and ratified by the Board. The Committee shall be composed of up to fifteen (15) members, whose members shall be drawn from the following: 1) groups representing property owners affected by the MSHCP; 2) government agencies within Riverside County; and 3) groups representing environmental interests implicated by the MSHCP, and 4) other stakeholders. Committee members shall not be permitted to appoint alternates. The Committee shall meet when requested to do so by the Chairperson or Board. Reasonable efforts shall be made to hold committee meetings a minimum of twice yearly. The Stakeholder Advisory Committee shall review implementation plans and perform such other duties as directed by the Board.

* Members of a specific committee may change with approval of the Chairperson and Board.

Regional Conservation Authority

Monthly Financial Report

Staff Contact:

**Ron Rempel, RCA General Manager
(951) 955-9700**

Background:

See attached monthly financial reports.

Staff Recommendation:

Receive and file

Attachments:

1. Expense and Revenue Report
2. RCA Net MSHCP Mitigation Fee Collections by Member Agency

REGIONAL CONSERVATION AUTHORITY

**FY 2004 / 05 YEAR TO DATE BUDGETED VERSUS ACTUAL EXPENSES AND REVENUES
AS OF OCTOBER 2004**

PREPARED BY: Ellen Laney (52873)

		APPROVED BUDGET FY 04/05	ACTUAL EXPEND / REV YEAR TO DATE	% OF BUDGET
	REVENUE / APPROPRIATIONS			
	REVENUE ACCOUNTS			
I	Development Fees MSHCP	2,995,075	2,035,179	67.95%
II	Contribution From Other Funds <i>HCF landfill fees 1500000</i>	1,500,000		0.00%
III	Interest Income	0	422	
	TOTAL DEPARTMENTAL REVENUE	4,495,075	2,035,602	
	GRAND TOTAL REVENUE	4,495,075	2,035,602	45.29%
	APPROPRIATIONS			
Category	APPROPRIATION CODE 2: SUPPLY/SERVICES			
A	Training, Office Supplies, Computer Equipment, Software, Insurance	116,980	13,783	11.78%
B	Travel, Mileage, Accomodations <i>Sacramento 12 trips 1 night 2 persons Washington DC 2 trips 5 nights 3 persons</i>	79,850	429	0.54%
C	Special Agency - Contracts <i>Parks, EDA, WRCOG, Ag Commissioner BBK, CDFG, Patricia Lock Dawson</i>	2,937,000	58,123	1.98%
D	Professional services - Other <i>Ellen Laney - Accounting</i>	17,500	3,215	18.37%
E	Special Agency - Labor <i>11.3 County positions</i>	1,128,745	27,209	2.41%
F	County Counsel <i>Karin Watts-Bazan</i>	50,000	0	0.00%
G	GIS Services <i>Mapped products</i>	150,000	0	0.00%
H	EFS Processing <i>County Auditor/Controller - Treasurer</i>	15,000	0	0.00%
	APPROPRIATION CODE 2 TOTAL	4,495,075	102,759	2.29%
	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENDITURES	4,495,075	102,759	2.29%
	NET OPERATING POSITION	0	1,932,843	

Fund Balance as of October 31, 2004

1,916,269.48

Regional Conservtion Authority
 MSHCP Fee Collection by RCA Members
 FY 2004/05
 Collections as of 11/24/2004

	Jul-04	Aug-04	Sep-04	Oct-04	Nov-04	Dec-04	Jan-04	Feb-04	Mar-04	Apr-04	May-04	Jun-04	TOTALS	PERCENT of TOTAL
CITY OF BANNING	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$13,208.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$13,208.00	0.62%
CITY OF BEAUMONT	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$36,322.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$36,322.00	1.70%
CITY OF CALIMESA	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
CITY OF CANYON LAKE	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
CITY OF CORONA	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
CITY OF HEMET	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$75,773.40	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$75,773.40	3.55%
CITY OF LAKE ELSINORE	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
CITY OF MORENO VALLEY	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
CITY OF MURRIETA	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$230,850.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$230,850.00	10.81%
CITY OF NORCO	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
CITY OF PERRIS	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
CITY OF RIVERSIDE	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$82,855.25	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
CITY OF SAN JACINTO	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$196,667.60	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$196,667.60	9.21%
CITY OF TEMECULA	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE	\$437,619.00	\$320,725.00	\$477,917.00	\$347,047.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,583,308.00	74.12%
TOTALS	\$437,619.00	\$320,725.00	\$477,917.00	\$982,723.25	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,136,129.00	100.00%